US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5



February 17, 2012

By e-mail: kerr.michelle@epa.gov & Personal Delivery
Ms. Michelle Kerr
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency – Region 5
Superfund Division (SR-6J)
77 W. Jackson Blvd.

Chicago, IL 60604-3590

RE: USEPA General Notice and Information Request for the Chemetco Superfund Site in Hartford, Illinois

Dear Ms. Kerr:

On January 31, 2012, representatives of thirty-five alleged PRPs met in Chicago or participated by telephone for the purpose of discussing the Chemetco matter. The meeting was organized with the assistance of the Institute of Scrap Recycling Industries (ISRI) and therefore, this letter refers to the meeting participants as the "ISRI Meeting Group." All of the members of the ISRI Meeting Group received the November 30, 2011 General Notice letter, many of them received the Request for Information, and many participated in the December 20, 2011 meeting at EPA. The purpose of the January 31st meeting was to discuss whether a PRP group can be formed to respond to EPA regarding the future of the Chemetco site. The meeting was held under "Joint Defense Privilege," and the discussions and details of the meeting are strictly confidential.

While no waiver of the joint defense privilege is intended or created by this letter, I have been authorized by the signatories of this letter to ask EPA the following questions and make the following comments:

4

¹ Not all PRPs at the meeting were actually members of ISR1.

Ms. Michelle Kerr February 17, 2012 Page 2

- (1) Why is EPA in such a hurry to have the PRPs fund an RI/FS when Illinois EPA and federal EPA have been knowledgeable about the conditions of the site for more than 20 years and while the Chemetco Bankruptcy Trustee is taking remedial actions?
- (2) If the PRPs are unable to form a group capable of funding the RIFS in the short timeframe set out by EPA, is the EPA willing and able to fund it?
- (3) Was there any reasonable rationale (other than administrative convenience) to excluding over 1,600 PRPs as recipients of the General Notice Letter?
- (4) Where are the underlying documents from which the USEPA's spreadsheet contained in the January 2012 informational DVD was prepared, and are they available for review? How will information from the 104(e) responses be incorporated into the databases and EPA's Weights List?
- (5) Will EPA release to us all reports of EPA and IEPA from interviews of Chemetco employees and other relevant persons?
- (6) What information is on the microfilm contained on the 7th floor of EPA, and has that information been secured against damage, destruction, theft or inappropriate access? Does the EPA intend to pay for the cost of putting the microfiche in a format (.pdf or copies) that is useable by the public? When will EPA reconcile the discrepancies between the number of microfilm rolls in the Records Center (our vendor counted 149) and the number of rolls (115) represented on EPA's inventory?
- (7) Do documents, including computer data, still remain at the site and are any of them nexus related? What action has USEPA taken, or will it take, to preserve and analyze nexus information in the possession of the bankruptcy estate? More generally, what actions will USEPA undertake to identify additional PRPs?
- (8) Isn't EPA's Weights List ranking of the PRPs unreliable (for purposes of assigning liability, attempts to form a PRP group, etc.) since it is only based on weights-in data for a short period and does not take into account the many additional responses that EPA expects from PRPs to the 104(e) requests for information? Are there other records available to determine the volumes of any hazardous substances or other substances of concern?
- (9) EPA stated that companies that shipped only to the remote warehouses were not sent the General Notice Letter, but EPA's database as contained in the January DVD bears out differently. How and when is EPA going to deal with this discrepancy?
- (10) What is USEPA's intended scope of work for the RIFS and what is a good estimated cost range for an RI/FS at this site?

- (11) Why is the USEPA proposing such a tight timeframe for negotiation when the activities causing the alleged pollution have been shut down and the site seems to have been stabilized?
- (12) What is the status of the bankruptcy estate and what contributions can we expect from it either present or future? Why wasn't it named a PRP? How is the useful product still on the site being handled and who owns or controls title to those assets? Will the PRPs gain the benefit from money from the sale of that product?
- (13) Who compiled the spreadsheets contained in the January DVD, and what was that person's assignment? Is that person available for interview?

The biggest challenge to the ISRI Meeting Group will be gathering sufficient reliable information to make informed decisions. The companies that participated in the ISRI Meeting have not yet had an opportunity to review the voluminous documents (including site-nexus documents) that are presently stored on the 7th Floor of USEPA's offices in Chicago, and at the Hartford Public Library in Hartford, Illinois, and those previously withheld as confidential by Great Lakes Transportation.² Therefore, the companies in the ISRI Meeting Group will need additional time to conduct an investigation and gather and review the information necessary to respond to USEPA's extensive request for information³ and/or its request for cooperation.

All companies in the ISRI Meeting Group received your January 18, 2012 e-mail extending the time to respond to the request for information to March 3, 2012. That e-mail also indicated that EPA would like to have another meeting with the PRPs sometime in March.

The signatories to this letter express our commitment to working further with the EPA to address the issues identified above under the following conditions:

- (1) The EPA grants an extension for response to the 104(e) request for information (for those companies that must respond) and for companies to provide EPA with a firm commitment to further participate in negotiations until June 3, 2012;
- (2) In the meantime, EPA conducts another informational meeting with <u>all</u> PRPs invited to address the questions set forth in this letter and other issues of concern.

The undersigned parties take this matter very seriously and understand the EPA's need to come to some timely resolution. However, the time frame as set forth by the EPA is simply unrealistic and unattainable. If you would like our cooperation, please strongly consider our suggestions and questions, and give us additional time to formulate into a cohesive group and to gather necessary information and make intelligent decisions going forward.

² We were informed on Friday, January 27, 2012 that Great Lakes is withdrawing its claim of confidentiality.

³ Please note that some of these companies have already responded to previous requests for information and therefore are not requesting additional time.

Ms. Michelle Kerr February 17, 2012 Page 4

Finally, but very importantly, we are concerned as a group about the safety and integrity of Chemetco Site records and company operations records, including computer drives, files and also the microfilm located on the 7th Floor. We specifically ask that the EPA take specific steps to make sure that the records, computer drives and other electronically stored information and the microfilm is safeguarded, maintained so that retrievability is reasonably feasible, and only made available to companies or persons that have a real interest in reviewing the documents. One of the tasks we are presently undertaking is to obtain estimates for an outside company to come in and copy the microfilm so that the ISRI Meeting Group has a secure copy in the event EPA does not intend to copy the microfilm into a useable format. We would be interested in exploring jointly with EPA the best way to secure all Chemetco records and information that may be or become relevant to EPA or the parties receiving General Notice Letters.

We look forward to hearing from you. In the meantime, please do not hesitate to contact any of the persons on the attached list with any additional questions or information that you need.

Very truly yours,

(See attached signatories)

cc: Tom Martin, Esq. (by e-mail: Martin.Thomas@epa.gov)

Attached are the signatories of the following companies to the foregoing letter to Ms. Michelle Kerr dated February 17, 2012.

Atlas Metal

Catmet Company, Inc.

CommScope, Inc.

Fry's Metals, Inc.

Great Lakes Transportation, Inc.

Harding Metals, Inc.

Interco Trading

J. Trockman & Sons, Inc.

M. Burstein & Co., Inc.

Metal Briquetting Company

Metal Management Midwest, Inc.

Metal Recycling Systems, Inc.

Metro Recycling, Inc.

Mike's Metals, Incorporated

Moen, Inc.

Mueller Company

Northeast Metal

Paul Mattuchio, Inc.

Olin Corporation

Rivers Metals Recycling

Safran Metals, Inc.

Warrenton Copper

Atlas Metal

By: Jo Kempen Will PC

Burns, Figa & Will PC

Counsel for Atlas Wetel & Iron Corp.

Catmet Company, Inc.

Ву:

Attorney for

CommScope, Inc.

By: William W. Dolle

Fry's Metals, Inc.

By: Sharon Salinas
Dykema Gossett, Coursel for Try's Metals, Inc.

Great Lakes Transportation, Inc.

By: Jeffry 1 Jefr

Attorney for

Harding Metals, Inc.

Jillan E. Colby, Esq. Kalil & LaCount

681 Wallis Road

Rye, New Hampshire 03870

(603) 964-1414

Interco Trading

By: Dright M.

J. Trockman & Sons, Inc.

By. M. Edle

Monica E. Edwards

Kahn, Dees, Donovan & Kahn, LLP

M. Burstein & Co., Inc.

By: Dans P. Problett. Cornel to A Brokin & Co., Inc.

Metal Briquetting Company

Ву:

.

Metal Management Midwest, Inc.

By:_________

Mark A. LaRose
One of Its Attorneys
LaRose & Bosco, Ltd.
200 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 2810
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 642-4414
Fax (312) 642-0434
mlarose@laroseboscolaw.com

ŧ

Metal Recycling Systems, Inc.

By:

Mark A. LaRose
One of Its Attorneys
LaRose & Bosco, Ltd.
200 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 2810
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 642-4414
Fax (312) 642-0434
mlarose@laroseboscolaw.com

Metro Recycling, Inc.

By: Mut R. Lagio

Mark R. Sargis

Mike's Metals. Incorporated

Mark Erzen, its attorney Mark D. Erzen, P.C.

321 North Clark Street, Fifth Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60654-4769

Toll Free: 888-633-7936 Chicago: 773-317-2832 Facsimile: 888-633-7936 Mark.Erzen@ErzenLaw.com Moen, Inc.

Mueller Copapany

By:

Lee A. DeHihns, III

Alston & Bird LLP

1201 West Peachtree Street

Atlanta, GA 30309-3424

PH: 404-881-7151

FAX: 404-253-8569

Email: lee.dehihns@alston.com

Northeast Metal

By: alia Myeis

Paul Mattuchio, Inc.

Peter F. Durning
MACKIE SHEA O'BRIEN, P.C.
420 Boylston Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02116

(617) 266-5700

Olin Corporation

Curtis M. Richards

Corporate Vice President

Rivers Metals Recycling

By:

ł

Safran Metals, Inc.

By:

Mark A. LaRose
One of Its Attorneys
LaRose & Bosco, Ltd.
200 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 2810
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 642-4414
Fax (312) 642-0434

mlarose@laroseboscolaw.com

Warrenton Copper

By: /hou

1 HOMAS K. Bick

.

Rochester Computer Recycling & Recovery, LLC

By: Thomas Wask

Thomas F. Walsh, Esq.

Its: Attorney



BY PERSONAL DELIVERY

Ms. Michelle Kerr Remedial Project Manager U.S. EPA - Region 5 Superfund Division (SR-6J) 77 W. Jackson Blvd. Chicago. IL 60604-3590



BY PERSONAL DELIVERY

Ms. Michelle Kerr Remedial Project Manager U.S. EPA - Region 5 Superfund Division (SR-6J) 77 W. Jackson Blvd. Chicago. IL 60604-3590